No.



9500029

THE UNIVERD SHAVES OF AMERICA

Fioneer Hi-Ared International, Inc.

Thereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED, PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR PORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR PROPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE PURPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT OF BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'9042'

In Testimonn Muserot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Mant Anciety Acotection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this thirty-first day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety five.

Allost

Marsha A. Samfan

Commissioner Plant Variety Protection Offic Forotary of Syriculture

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 			
U.S. DEPARTMENT (AGRICULTURAL MA SCIENCE I	RKETING SERVICE			Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection
	IS ON REVERSE)	ON CERTIFICATE		certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held contidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
 NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NO	3.	VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred Internationa	l, Inc.	ON EXPERIMENTAL NO.		9042
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (include area code)		FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
700 Capital Square 400 Locust St.			PVI	PO NUMBER
Des Moines, IA 50309	1	515)270-3582	<u> </u>	<u>9500029</u>
	,	313/270-3302	F	Date MOVEMBER 8, 1994
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME (Bota	nical)	i	Time
Glycine max	Leguminos		Ğ	☐ A.M. 🖸 P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)			F	Filing and Examination Fee:
•		9. DATE OF DETERMINATION	€ S	2,325,00
Soybean		September 1989	R	Date
 IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM association, etc.) 	OF ORGANIZATION (C	Corporation, partnership,	Ę	UCTOBER 27, 1994
Corporation			įĘ	Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION		12. DATE OF INCORPORATION	— ¥	300,00 Date
Iowa		1926	D	
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S).	IF ANY, TO SERVE IN T		ALL PAP	SEPTEMBER 5, 1995
John Grace		Roth (copy)	755 771	
7300 NW 62nd Ave.		apital square,		ocust St.
PO Box 1004	Des Mo	oines, IA 50309	9	
Johnston, IA 50131-1004		PHONE (include area code):		
a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety b. Exhibit B, Novelty Statement c. Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety e. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Owr f. Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date : g. Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,325) made payable i	nership Seed Sample mailed to f	Plant Variety Protection Office	10/2	8/94
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VAR			CEDTIC	CD OFFICE OF
Plant Variety Protection Act) YES (If "YES," answer ite	ems 16 and 17 below)	NO (If "NO," skip to item	18 below	ED SEED? (See section 83(a) of the
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE	17. IF "YES			UCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?		,	STERED	CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION	OF THE VARIETY IN TI			
☐ YES (If "YES," through ☐ Plant Variety Protection ☑ NO	n Act Patent #	Act. Give date.).
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR S YES (If "YES," GIVE NAMES OF COUNTRIES AND DATE	SALE, OR MARKETED II	THE U.S. OR OTHER COUNTRIE	S?	
I≱ NO		***************************************		
 The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds such regulations as may be applicable. 	of this variety will be fun	nished with the application and wil	l be reple	nished upon request in accordance with
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexuall in section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions	y reproduced novel plan	t variety, and believe(s) that the va	ariety is di	stinct, uniform, and stable as required
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein ca				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))		PACITY OR TITLE		DATE
1 1 4		THE .		
Allm / has It	50	ybean Research	,0023	inator 10/4/94
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))		PACITY OR TITLE	200101	
V Tourier(s)	CA	OUT OR HILE		DATE
	1		ł	

Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc. PVP Application 9042 Soybean March 8, 1994

EXHIBIT A

Breeding History of Pioneer Brand 9042 Soybean

4.4	
Year	Activity
1986 (SUMMER)	Cross was made between Pioneer Brand 9061 and CX 096.
1986 to 1988	Population was advanced by modified single seed descent.
198 8	F5 bulk was planted, single plants were selected and individually threshed.
1989	Seed from individual harvested F5 plants were planted in identified rows. Breeding staff selected the line based on visual appearance from progeny row 1954 in Redwood Falls, Minnesota and designated the line 9087R027.
1990	Preliminary yield trials (test:RFD0E400, entry 18) were initiated in Minnesota. Based upon yield performance, the line was advanced to wide area regional trials in 1991.
1990-91 (winter)	Single plants were pulled from a bulk of the line grown in Santiago, Chile.
1991	Grown in multi-regional trials as W9087R027 (tests: NPA0E000, RFA0E000). Purification rows derived from single plants harvested in Chile were grown in Redwood Falls, Minnesota. Offtype sublines were discarded.
1992	Second year in wide area tests (designation: Y9087R027; tests: RFA0L000, NPA0L000). A 2.2 acre production block (breeders seed) was grown at Redwood Falls, MN.
1993	Third year in wide area tests (designation: XB04A, tests: RFA0L000, NPA0L000, NPVL2600, NPVL2800). A 65 acre parent seed increase (foundation seed equivalent) was grown in Wahpeton, ND.
1994	Based on superior yield performance, early maturity, moderate iron-deficiency chlorosis tolerance, and multi-race Phytophthora resistance, the line was released as Pioneer Brand 9042.
It has been obse	gone four years of extensive testing and purification. erved by the breeding staff to be uniform and stable for from generation to generation, with no evidence of

Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc. FVP Application 9042 Soybean March 8, 1994

Exhibit B: Novelty Statement Concerning 9042 Soybean

To our knowledge, '9042' soybean is most similar to 'AP 0919', 'CX 096', and '0877'. These varieties are all of Group 0 maturity and possess purple flowers, gray pubescence, and gray hila color.

However, '9042' can be distinguished from each of the others as follows:

- 1. 9042, AP 0919, CX 096, and 0877 possess different isozyme profiles (Table 1).
- 2. 9042 matures significantly earlier than AP 0919, CX 096, and 0877 (Tables 2, 3, and 4.)

EXHIBIT C

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S) TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	V VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.	9042
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
700 Capital Square 400 Locust St.	PVPO NUMBER
Des Moines, IA 50309	9.500029
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the variety in the features describe n your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided, place a zero in the first box starred characters ** are considered fundamental to an adequate soybean variety descrip	when number is 9 or less (e.g., 0 9).
vhen information is available.	
1. SEED SHAPE: T	ed (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2)
	d (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)	
1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown 4 = Black 5 = Other	er (Specify)
CEED COAT LUCTER, (Manual Board Challed Coad)	
. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)	
1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebsoy'; 'Gasoy 17')	
. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)	
Grams per 100 seeds	
. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)	
4 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect i	Black 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)	
1 = Yellow 2 = Green	
SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:	
2 1 = Low 2 = High	
2 1 20W 2 1 11gil	
SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:	
1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type 8 (SP1 ^b)	
1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type 8 (SP1 ^b) HYPOCOTYL COLOR:	
	s ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
HYPOCOTYL COLOR: 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with bronze band below cotyledon 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; 'Coker Hampton 266A')	s ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
HYPOCOTYL COLOR: 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with bronze band below cotyledon 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71')	s ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')

_					
1	1. LEAF	LET SIZE:			
	2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	'; 'Gasoy 17')		
	2 1546	COLOR:			
	2. LEAF				
	2	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Cor 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	soy 79'; 'Braxton')		•
★ 13	3. FLOW	YER COLOR:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2	1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throa	t		
* 14	, POD C	COLOR:			
	1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black			
★ 15	, PLAN	T PUBESCENCE COLOR:			
	1	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)			
16	, PLAN	T TYPES:			
	3	1 = Siender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amco 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	er'; 'Braxton')		
★ 17	. PLANT	T HABIT:			
	3	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican')	Will')	·	
★ 18	. MATU	RITY GROUP:			
	0 3	1 = 000	6 = III 7 =	V 8 = V	
★ 19	. DISEA	SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)			
· .	BAC1	TERIAL DISEASES:			
*	0	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli var. sojensis)	· .	•.	
*	\Box	Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinee)			
*	ு	Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)			
	FUNG	AL DISEASES:			
*		Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)			•
		Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)		_	
*	0	Race 1 0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0 Race 4	0 Race 5	Other (Specify)	
•	0	Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)			
	0	Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum var. manshurica)			
	0	Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)			
*	T	Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum)	,		
• •		Stem Canker (Diagosthe phaseologym you southouse)			

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

Page 3 of 4

* [FUNGAL DISEASE	ES: (Continued)			
* [1 Bod and Stor				
Γ	rod and ster	n Blight <i>(Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> var; <i>sojae)</i>			
· L	1 Purple Seed	Stain (Cercospora kikuchii)			
	1 Rhizoctonia	Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)			
	Phytophthor	a Rot (Phytophthora megasperma var. sojae)		
*	2 Race 1	2 Race 2 1 Race 3	1 Race 4 1 Race 5	0 Race 6 1 Race 7	
	1 Race 8	1 Race 9 2 Other (Specify)	10. 13. 16. 17.	20	
·	VIRAL DISEASES:	•			
	Bud Blight (7	obacco Ringspot Virus)			
	1 Yellow Mosai	c (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)			
*	1 Cowpea Mosa	ic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)			
	Pod Mottle (B	ean Pod Mottle Virus)			
* [1 Seed Mottle	Soybean Mosaic Virus)			
N	NEMATODE DISEA	SES:			
	Soybean Cyst	Nematode (Heterodera glycines)			
★ 0) Race 1	0 Race 2 1 Race 3	O Race 4 Other (S	oecify)	
0	Lance Nemato	ode (Hoplolaimus Colombus)			
★ 0	Southern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita)			
* 0	Northern Roo	t Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)	•		
o	Peanut Root K	(not Nematode (Meloidogyne arenana)			
0	=	natode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)			
1	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):	nite Mold (Sclero	tinia sclerotiorum)	
, T		PONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susc	eptible; 2 = Resistant)		
* []	Iron Chlorosis	on Calcareous Soil			
	Other (Specify	J			
21. INSE	CT REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 =	Resistant)		
<u> </u>	Mexican Bean	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)			
<u> </u>	O Potato Leaf Ho	pper (Empoasca fabae)			
	Other (Specify)	l			
22. IND1(CATE WHICH VAI	RIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES TH	IAT SUBMITTED.		
	ARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	
Plant (Shape	9071	Seed Coat Luster	9061	
Leaf S	Shape	0877	Seed Size	9041	
Leaf C	Color	9061	Seed Shape	9041	
Leaf S	Size	0877	Seedling Pigmentation	9061	
	GS-470-57 (6-83)				6

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS	PLANT LODGING	CM PLANT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS/
	MATURITY	SCORE	HEIGHT	CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD
9042 Submitted	126.5		67	6.8	10.7	40.7	21.9	14.3	
0877 Name of Similar Variety	134.0		66	6.6	9.7	41.2	21.4	15.2	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

Exhibit D:

In Exhibit C we have identified 9042 as susceptible to bacterial blight, brown spot, pod and stem blight, rhizoctonia root rot, bud blight, yellow mosaic, cowpea mosaic, pod mottle, and seed mottle. This does not mean that we consider 9042 to be worse than other varieties of similar maturity in reaction to these challenges. Rather, we do not consider 9042 to be immune to them. Therefore, we have chosen to be conservative and have identified 9042 as "susceptible".

Variety 9042 is a mid group 0 variety. If group 0 maturities are divided into tenths, the relative maturity of 9042 is 0.4.

Exhibit E.

Variety '9042' was originated and developed by plant breeders from whom, by agreement, Pioneer Hi-Bred International has obtained exclusive rights to protect and market variety '9042'. No rights to such invention, discovery, or development are retained by the plant breeders or by any other party.

Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc. PVP Application 9042 Soybean March 8, 1994

Isozyme profiles for 9042, AP0919, CX 096, and 0877. Table 1.

Variety				-	Is	ozyme				٠		
	ACO2	ACO3	ACO4	ACP	DIA	ENP	IDH1	IDH2	MDH	MPI	PGM1	PHI1
•				A	llele	desi	gnatio	ns				
9042 AP0919 CX 096 0877	2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1	1 3 3	A A A	В - В В	A A A	1 2 1 2	2 2 2 2	A A A	B B -	1 1 1 1	2 1 2 2

Key:

Aconitase: ACO2, ACO3, ACO4

Acid Phosphatase: ACP

Diaphorase: DIA Endopeptidase: ENP

Isocitrate Dehydrogenase: IDH1, IDH2
Malate Dehydrogenase: MDH

Mannose 6-Phosphate Isomerase: MPI Phosphoglucomutase: PGM

Phosphoglucose Isomerase: PHI

Table 2. Paired comparison of 9042 versus AP 0919 for days to maturity.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet. Plot width was four 30 inch rows, or ten feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. Data was taken in years identified.

	1992						
REP	9042	AP 0919	X1-X2	(X1-X2)**2	SD**2	= (245-(31**2/4))	/(4*3)
٠ ٦	128	134	-6	36		= 0.395833	
2	124	132	-8	64	SD =	0.629153	
3	125	133	-8	64	†=	7.75/0.63	
. 4	127	136	-9	81		12.3	** significant
							at the 1% level
					df=	3	
sum		535	-31	245			
ave	126	133.75	-7.75		n=	4 groups	of individuals
					9042 matu	•	
					AP0919 matu	rity = 133.75 days	
	1993						
REP				(X1-X2)**2	SD**2	• • •	/(6*5)
. 1	135	140	-5	25		= 3.444444	
2		139	-4	16	SD =	1.855921	
3		129	- 5	25	†=	7.67/1.86	
4		130	-5	25			** significant at
5		135	-14	196	df=	5	the 1% level
6	119	132	-13	169			
					n=	6 groups	of individuals
sum	759	805	-46	456	9042 matur	•	
ave	126.5	134.17	7.67		AP0919 matur	ity = 134.2days	
		1 4000 0					
DED		d 1993 Con			0D**0	/701 /77**0 /10\\	((10*0)
				(X1-X2)**2	SD**2=	, , , ,	
1	135	140	-5	25	0.0	= 1.201111	
2	135	139	-4	16	SD =	1.095952	
	124	129	- 5	25	† =	7.7/1.1	
4	125	130	-5	25) ** significant
5	121	135	-14	196	df=	, ,	at the 1% level
6	119	132	-13	169		10	
7	128	134	-6	36	n=	10 groups	of individuals
- 8	124	132	-8	64	0040		
9	125	133	-8	64	9042 matur	•	
10	127	136	-9	81.	AP0919 maturi	ity = 134 days	
	10/0	1040	 -	70.			
sum	1263	1340	-77	701	•	•	
ave	126.3	134	-7.7				

Table 3. Paired comparison of 9042 versus CX 096 for days to maturity.

10

sum 1263

ave 126.3

127

141

1366

136.6 -10.3

-14

-103

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet. Plot width was four 30 inch rows, or ten feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. Data was taken in years identified.

•	1992						
REP		CX 096	X1-X2	(X1-X2)**2	SD**2=	(592-(48**2/4))	/(4*3)
.1		138			=	7.000000	,
2	124	134	-10	100	SD =	1.154701	
3	125	139	-14	196	† =	12/1.15	
. 4	127	141	-14	196		10.4	** significant at
					df=	3	the 1% level
sum	504	552	-48	592			
ave	126	138	-12		n=	4 groups of	individuals
					9042 maturity	= 126 days	
•			,		CX 096 maturity	= 138 days	
				•		.00 0.0.70	
	1993						
				(X1-X2)**2	SD**2=	(619-(55**2/6))	/(6*5)
]	135	140	-5	25	===	3.827778	
2	135	140	-5	25	SD =	1.956471	
3	124	136	-12	144	† =	9.17/1.96	
4	125	130	- 5	25			** significant at
5	121	133	-12	144	df=	5	the 1% level
6	119	135	-16	256			
	750	014		(10	n=	6 groups of i	individuals
sum	759	814	-55	619	00.40	107 E d	
ave	126.5	135.67	-9.17		9042 maturity	= 126.5 days	
					CX 096 maturity	= 135.7 days	
	1992 and	1993 Com	nbined Da	ata			
REP 9	9042 C	X 096 X1	-X2 (X1	-X2)**2	SD**2= (1211-(103**2/10))	/(10*9)
1	135	140	-5	25	=	1.667778	, , ,
2	135	140	-5	25	SD =	1.291425	
3	124	136	-12	144	†=	10.3/1.29	
4	125	130	-5	25		7.98	** significant
5	121	133	-12	144	df=	9	at the 1% level
6	119	135	-16	256			
7	128	138	-10	100	n=	10 groups of	individuals
- 8	124	134	-10	100	,		
9	125	139	-14	196 9	2042 maturity	= 126.3 days	

196 CX 096 maturity

1211

= 136.6 days

Pioneer Hi-Bred Int'l, Inc. PVP Application 9042 Soybean March 8, 1994

Table 4. Paired comparison of 9042 versus 0877 for days to maturity.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. Data was taken in 1993.

Year/Location/Rep	9042 (X1)	0877 (X2)	(X1-X2)	(x1-x2) ²
	day	s		
1993 101A Rep 1	135.0	138.0	-3.0	9.00
1993 101A Rep 2	135.0	138.0	-3.0	9.00
1993 104A Rep 1	124.0	134.0	-10.0	100.00
1993 104A Rep 2	125.0	135.0	-10.0	100.00
1993 106A Rep 1	121.0	127.0	-6.0	36.00
1993 106A Rep 2	119.0	132.0	-13.0	169.00
SUM	759.0	804.0	-45.0	423.00
IEAN	126.5	134.0	-7.5	- ₫

N = 6 groups of individuals

SE DIFF (s-) =
$$\frac{423 - [(-45)^2/6]}{(6) (5)} = 1.69$$

$$T = | d/s-| = \frac{7.5}{1.69} = 4.44$$
, significant for 5 degrees of freedom at the 0.01 level.